

ONEIDA COUNTY PLANNING & ZONING
April 29, 2009 5:30 P.M.
PUBLIC HEARING – COUNTY BOARD ROOM
ONEIDA COUNTY COURTHOUSE
RHINELANDER, WI 54501

Members present: Scott Holewinski
Larry Greschner
Charles Wickman
Ted Cushing
(Absent: Frank Greb)

Department staff present: Karl Jennrich, Zoning Director
Pete Wegner, Assistant Zoning Director
Steve Osterman, Planning Manager
Nadine Wilson, Land Use Specialist
Kim Gauthier, Secretary

Other County Staff: Brian Desmond, Corporation Counsel; Attorney Andrew Jones,
Outside Legal Counsel; Denny Thompson, Town of Woodboro;
John Young, Town of Crescent

Guests present: (See sign-in sheet)

Call To Order:

Chair, Scott Holewinski called the meeting to order at 5:38 p.m., in the County Board Room, 2nd Floor Oneida County Courthouse, Rhinelander, Wisconsin in accordance with the Wisconsin Open Meeting Law. Mr. Holewinski noted the agenda was properly posted, the media notified and the courthouse is handicap accessible.

Approve the agenda

Motion by Ted Cushing, second by Charles Wickman to approve the agenda. With all members present voting “aye”, the motion carried.

Note: The following minutes reflect Committee action. Refer to the court reporter transcripts on file for a verbatim discussion.

Conditional Use Permit Application submitted by Arthur G. Jaros, Jr., applicant consisting of the development of a religious bible camp identified as “Eagle Cove Camp and Conference Center” for property on Squash Lake, further identified as part of Gov’t Lots 2, 3, 4 and part of the SW NW, Section 24, T36N, R7E, PIN#’s WB 354-12, 355-1, 356-3, 356-4, 356, 357, 357-5, 357-4, all in the Town of Woodboro. The general location of this project is on land situated between Squash Lake and Hwy 8.

Karl Jennrich, Zoning Director read the notice of public hearing into the record. The notice was published in the Rhinelander Daily News on April 15, 2009 and April 21, 2009 along with the Lakeland Times, Tomahawk Leader the week of April 20, 2009 and the Vilas County New Review the week of April 13, 2009. Mr. Jennrich also read the mailing list into the record. Mr. Jennrich indicated the following correspondence was received:

-
- Letter dated April 4, 2009, from the Town of Crescent with concerns as read.
 - Advisory recommendation from the Town of Woodboro dated April 23, 2009, as read with the request that the conditional use permit not be approved for the reasons stated.

Mr. Jennrich noted public correspondence was also received and distributed to the Committee along with a memo dated April 23, 2009 (See attached memo and correspondence Jaros CUP Exhibit #1). The Committee also received a copy of the conditional use permit, exhibits of the CUP, copies of Mr. Jennrich's letters as indicated, meeting minutes of 6/14/06 and public correspondence received as of this date.

Brian Desmond, Corporation Counsel asked if Mr. Jaros has a copy of the correspondence and would stipulate to the correspondence being part of the public hearing.

Art Jaros did not require the reading of public correspondence received since 4/23/09, but would like copies of correspondence received after that date including the town letter.

Mr. Jennrich indicated the department also received a petition today opposing the conditional use permit and noted a copy will be supplied to Mr. Jaros.

Mr. Holewinski asked that the applicant give a presentation on the project.

Art Jaros introduced those who will present information related to the project. Mr. Jaros continued to describe the history of the property.

Randy Jaros explained the family vision of the project.

Mike Jewell, Crescent Lake Bible Camp, explained the importance of Bible camps and the main purpose of camp.

Art Jaros commented that under Wisconsin law, Bible camps are recognized by Wisconsin property tax statutes as a distinct form or modality of religious exercise and the exemptions for churches, schools and Bible camps. Mr. Jaros described the formation of the Wisconsin Corporation. Mr. Jaros also described his involvement with Zoning staff since 2005 to present.

Mr. Jewell described the economic evaluation of the site as it affected the proposed design. Mr. Jewell indicated the camp, dining hall and chapel are engineered for 300 people. Mr. Jewell presented a handout showing camp capacity comparisons (Applicant Exhibit #1).

Art Jaros described the topography of the property and intent to preserve as much of the land as possible.

Dave Oberbeck, Architect with Becher Hoppe Associates, described the portions of the land that will remain undisturbed and that which will undergo construction.

Randy Jaros commented on the factors behind locating the lodge by the lake along with the intended use. Mr. Jaros presented a copy of the initial announcement of the camp made on December 15, 2004 (Applicant Exhibit #2).

Wes Jaros commented on the recreational opportunities proposed including a softball field, soccer field, two volleyball courts, hiking, a ropes course, zip lines, small air rifle range, a full size gymnasium will be utilized as described, small swimming beach area. Mr. Jaros noted

there will be no jet skis or wave runners and no snowmobiles. Boats will not be transported from lakes and the concern of invasive species will not be possible from these boats.

Art Jaros commented that the Committee is welcome to make as a part of the conditions, which no foreign boats are allowed on the lake. Mr. Jaros asked that Mr. Jewell explain how the mix of spiritual matters, religious education and recreation works out in practice on the a regular weekly summer camp cycle of children.

Mr. Jewell described a typical day of activities at Crescent Lake Bible Camp.

Dave Oberbeck explained further the design process in relation to the camp objectives and natural topography while referring to the computerized image prepared by Becher Hoppe Engineers and Architects (Applicant Exhibit #3).

Daryl Peterson, Engineer with Becher Hoppe, commented on lake protection and environmental factors.

Shane Bernau, Landscape Architect with Ken Saiki Design, discussed the aesthetic and landscaping considerations while referring to "Applicant Exhibit #3".

Attorney Andrew Jones asked that exhibits being referred to be made part of the record unless already in the application as an exhibit. Mr. Jaros agreed.

Mr. Oberbeck briefly explained the exterior appearance of the façade of the building as requested by Art Jaros.

Art Jaros asked that Ken Ligman address the proposed fresh water system and wastewater system.

Ken Ligman, Wastewater Treatment Engineer with Becher Hoppe Associates, described the proposed water system plans and slight impact on Squash Lake.

Art Jaros asked Wes and Randy to address some of the concerns related to correspondence received by the Zoning Department.

Wes Jaros described the steps taken by the Jaros' to inform the public of their intent. Wes also addressed some of the derogatory comments made about the proposal and the Jaros brothers.

Randy Jaros described the lake use with the proposed project in relation to the size of Squash Lake.

Art Jaros commented on the size of the lake and comparisons with other area camps.

Wes Jaros addressed the objection rose pertaining to trees and vegetation, as described.

Shane Bernau commented further on the landscape design.

Randy Jaros commented on the objection that this project will comply with the Town of Woodboro's land use plan. Randy referred to a memo from Mr. Jaros regarding consistency of the initial rezone request with Woodboro land use plan (Applicant Exhibit #4). Randy asked that the Committee recognize freedom of religious use. Randy also commented on the uses of the

property with a conditional use permit and the restrictions that can be applied versus that of a rezone. Randy commented that the previous rezone petition caused contention amongst neighbors, but noted there are properties that are currently zoned business. Randy address the objector comments of septic pollution and noted there is a protective barrier with the waste water treatment system. Randy referred to Mr. Ligman for the distance from the lake to the treatment system.

Mr. Ligman indicated the aquifer is 1300 feet from the lake and 50 feet is the minimum distance allowed.

Wes Jaros commented on the objector comment that crime will increase and described this to be a "preposterous charge" as described.

Mr. Jewell commented that in the 75 years existence of Crescent Lake Bible Camp there has never been a crime committed by a camper. Mr. Jewell described how close campers are supervised.

Randy referred to the objector comment made that property value will decrease and described data that does not support this allegation.

Wes Jaros addressed the objector comment of RV and/or campground camping and noted the proposal does not include this sort of camping, only that of wilderness tent camping in the forest area behind the lodge.

Dave Oberbeck described the setbacks of the lodge and chapel as identified in the drawing of 3/4/09 in the application. Mr. Oberbeck also described buffer areas, elevation and the transportation or train system.

Art Jaros described the proposed transportation system noting "train" is not the correct term, as this is a one unit rail car that is self propelled. Art indicated he is working on obtaining one from the Alaska Railroad. Art described the unique opportunity this will provide a camper for shuttling people and luggage around the camp. Art also referred to Wisconsin Statute 59.69(5)(c) and Section 2000(C)(C)(A)(3) of the Federal law which states no government may exclude religious assembly from a jurisdiction, as read and described. Art Jaros also responded with a legal response to a series of objections pertaining to prohibition based on aesthetics, prohibition of students and/or children, conditional use permit granting along Squash Lake, the proposal is at odds with the land use plan (See Applicant Exhibit #4). Art Jaros also provided a copy of his response to the Woodboro Town Board dated February 2, 2009 (Applicant Exhibit #5). Mr. Jaros addressed the final objection that the land is not zoned properly to allow the proposed use. Mr. Jaros continued to explain the history of his involvement with the Zoning Department as described.

Brian Desmond asked that Mr. Jaros clarify the comments made by committee members.

Art Jaros indicated this is already part of the record and stated in the letter from March 4, 2009 by Becher Hoppe on our behalf and the excerpts from the minutes on page nine of eleven. Mr. Jaros also noted that he just received a copy of the town letter and would like an opportunity to respond at a later date.

Scott Holewinski indicated the committee will consider this later and asked that Mr. Jaros complete the presentation.

Mr. Jaros continued his belief that the committee previously directed Mr. Jaros to apply for a conditional use permit. Mr. Jaros asked that Randy Jaros conclude the presentation.

Randy Jaros addressed the comments that the character of the lake will change and referred to the four letter packet provided (Applicant Exhibit #6) from those who reside by other camps in the area. Randy Jaros concluded by asking that the Zoning Committee honor the representation made to the Jaros' in June of 2006 and fairly apply the laws to the application for a conditional use permit in giving with the laws of our founding fathers as described.

Chairman Holewinski asked if there were any questions of clarification from the Committee.

Pete Wegner, Assistant Zoning Director asked for clarification on foreign boats utilizing the lake.

Art Jaros indicated there will be no other boats brought onto the lake other than those owned by the camp so not to create any invasive species concerns.

Mr. Wegner asked if this included eight to ten other boats such as kayaks and canoes and asked if Crescent Bible Camp will be bringing their boats over to Squash Lake.

Art Jaros indicated Eagle Cove Camp will have its own boats and noted this could be made as a condition of the permit.

Mr. Wegner also asked about the trees and liming up on the view corridor and asked for clarification.

Art Jaros referred to Shane Bernau on this topic.

Mr. Bernau indicated it is not their intent to limb up any of the trees outside of those viewing corridors.

Mr. Wegner indicated the view corridor is the 30 foot strip and asked if those trees would just be limbed.

Mr. Bernau indicated within the 30 foot corridor, however, if a tree is dead it will be taken care of.

Mr. Wegner commented that in their March 4th letter agreed to work with the county on the view corridor. Mr. Wegner had no other questions.

Chairman Holewinski asked if there were any other questions of clarification.

Larry Greschner asked what the self-propelled rail care will be powered by.

Art Jaros indicated it comes with two diesel engines, but the second engine is only for high-speed operation. Mr. Jaros explained the history of the Alaska Railroad engine.

Chairman Holewinski asked if there were any other questions of clarification. (None). Mr. Holewinski opened the public portion of the public hearing and asked that any Town officials who wish to speak do so now.

Greg Harrold, Town of Woodboro, indicated the use is not compatible with the zoning district and does not allow a camp or conference center. Mr. Harrold continued to comment on his belief that the applicant mis-contridue comments made by zoning staff and if they would read and apply the ordinance it is clear what is allowed. Mr. Harrold commented that a church is a permitted use; however, the proposal does not meet the use allowed. Mr. Harrold indicated the Town of Woodboro does not recommend approval.

Chairman Holewinski indicated there would be a ten minute break. Time: 8:35 p.m.

Chairman Holewinski asked if there were any other representatives who wish to speak.

Jack Young, Town of Crescent, commented that he the applicant is not answering the question of how this project will impact the lake. Mr. Young indicated there are 80 parcels on the Woodboro side of the lake and 84 on the Crescent side of the lake and asked that the Committee consider the Town of Crescent concerns also.

Chairman Holewinski asked if there were any other town representatives. (No response). Those with attorneys will speak next.

Michael Barnes indicated he is a Town of Woodboro Supervisor and Greg Harrold has spoken already.

Chairman Holewinski continued by calling the names of those who indicated they wanted to speak on the sign in sheet.

Dale Smith commented on property owner rights and those elected officials who regulate the laws based on the vast majority of property owners. Mr. Smith commented that these officials have done a great job. Mr. Smith indicated he resides a short distance form the proposed project and a member of the Homeowners for Environmental Lake Preservation. Mr. Smith referred to the nine standards that must be met when considering a CUP application. Mr. Smith indicated noise will be a major issue as described, along with the boat safety issue with boats turning around in the bay area. Mr. Smith provided a laminated map of the lake showing the route of boat traffic (Objector Exhibit #1 and #4). Mr. Smith also commented on standard number four and the negative affect on marketability of surrounding property. Mr. Smith referred to an email from Wendy Peters (Objector Exhibit #5) regarding land value and also a letter from Jeff Paddock (Objector Exhibit #3) regarding ground water use. Mr. Smith also submitted his typed comments (Objector Exhibit #6).

(Note: Court Reporter skipped Objector Exhibit #2)

(Audience clapping)

Chairman Holewinski asked that there be no applause; just give opinions when called upon.

Bob Williams, President of Oneida County Lakes and Rivers Association, commented on behalf of the association and request denial of the permit.

Nancy Schroder, commented on general standard number two noting her concern for the island use on Squash Lake and the diminished view and enjoyment of the surrounding property. Ms. Schroder also commented on her concern of overuse of the lake. Ms. Schroder supplied a graph of area camps in comparison to that being proposed (Objector Exhibit #7). Ms. Schroder

also referred to standard number eight and commented that the project may potentially violate shore land or flood plain regulations as described. Ms. Schroder also commented on her concern of the diesel engine contributing to air pollutants.

(Audience clapping)

Mr. Holewinski reminded the audience that clapping will not be accepted and persons may be asked to leave if it continues.

Tom Johansen commented on it being difficult to listen to a 2 ½ hour presentation without being able to comment and would have liked to give an hour rebuttal for every thing heard tonight. Mr. Johansen spoke of his concern of the implications of the project on the lake, land owners, environment and the need for protecting the environment along the lake. Mr. Johansen indicated he secured signatures on a petition of those objecting to the proposal. Some of the concerns of neighboring owners include the great size of the structure, damage to shore land, water quality, clear cut of terrain, aesthetic look of the project and visibility. Mr. Johansen noted the proposal disagrees with the landowners desires for the Squash Lake area. Mr. Johansen described concern of sound and light pollution that will increase with the recreational facilities proposed. Mr. Johansen also described concerns of septic system use and capacity. Mr. Johansen described at great length his reasoning for denying the conditional use permit application.

Meg Johansen commented on her concern for how it will change the lake forever, water safety issues and invasive species. Ms. Johansen commented that there is overwhelming evidence to reject the permit.

Roger Degrís, clarified that there was a comment made earlier that the area across from the project was classified as a resort and it was classified as a business due to ice cream and pop being sold. There is also an apartment complex that's classified business; however, these classifications don't apply anymore. Mr. Degrís noted the Jaros' put some land in MFL and a business is not allowed. Mr. Degrís referred to general standard number three noting the proposal is not compatible with the adjacent land and does not fit into the land use plan. Regarding standard number seven this proposal does not meet the standards for district number two and /or a portion of district number three. Mr. Degrís asked that the Committee vote no and deny this application.

Janet Appling, read an e-mail from her cousin who is also a property owner on the lake and objects to the proposal. Ms. Appling commented that she does not believe the general standards can be met either and has concern of fire protection, water runoff, the need for a permit to utilize a rail car and diesel fuel on site, light pollution along with traffic safety issues being on a hill with traffic egress and ingress at 55 plus mph. Ms. Appling described our obligation to public trust and quoted John Bates.

Karen Isebrands Brown, recommended the committee read the book "Ethical Land Use, Principles and Policies of Planning". Ms. Isebrands-Brown asked that the committee vote against this proposal as it could set a precedent as policies should minimize affects.

Stephanie Boismenué, asked that the committee read the letters she submitted referring to Wisconsin Statute 283.49, regarding public hearings. Ms. Boismenué noted the DNR did not give the public an opportunity to speak and asked that DNR permit #WI-0062090-1 be terminated. Ms. Boismenué sited inconsistencies in the permit application since its origin and

now. Ms. Boismenu also provided pictures of the property where the camp is proposed (See two 8 X 11 photos with snow covered lake, not marked by the court reporter as an exhibit). Ms. Boismenu also spoke of her concern with the clearing of the land and the rail car proposal.

Patrick Dugan, President of the Squash Lake Association, commented that in October of 2007 an advisory referendum was completed to get a sense of how the residents felt about the proposed development. There was a 75% return rate of which there was 87% of those opposed the development and only 4 in favor. The association has the following concerns: high density will threaten the eco system, lake population will double during camp season, water clarity would be compromised, the proposal does not correspond with the land use plan, large capacity well need, and additional boats may increase AIS infestation. Mr. Dugan commented that he personally objects to the arrogance of the Jaros'.

David Hibbert, Crescent Lake resident, commented on what it is like to live on a lake with a camp and the amount of noise generated. Mr. Hibbert also commented on the need to increase the number of campers to generate enough money to cover operating expenses. Mr. Hibbert indicated he objects to the proposal as it will destroy the peace on the lake.

Joan Hibbert indicated she had no comment.

Bob Heise, Crestwood Drive, commented that initially he was for the proposal but now that the proposal described is that of a business he objects to the project. Mr. Heise described his background involved in Christian education and indicated he would not object to a church being constructed but not the magnitude proposed.

Tonia Hodeck was called upon, but no longer present.

Mike Jewell was called upon, but had no other comment.

Chuck Favor, President of Lake George Lake Association and Oneida County Lakes and Rivers Association, commented that Lake George is 15 miles from Squash Lake, however, he is concerned of the precedent that may be set if this project goes through. Mr. Favor described his concern of the affects on the tax role and provided a handout (Objector Exhibit #8). Mr. Favor continued by asking when the project will be funded, when construction will start and be completed, etc.

Ann Heise was called upon, but had no comment.

Anthony Steber was called upon, but no longer present.

Norris Ross, Three Lakes and Board Member of the Oneida County Lakes and Rivers Association, commented that he and other here are keeping an eye on what the County and the applicant are doing. Mr. Ross noted the purpose of single family zoning is to ensure traffic is infrequent and people are few and this proposal does not match this language.

Myles Fenske, commented that he believes there has been an awful lot of intimidation thrown out by the Jaroses as described. Mr. Fenske continued explaining why he believes this proposal needs to end.

Greg Barr was called upon, but no longer present.

Walter Hermann, Long Lake Road, commented that he has been coming to Squash Lake since 1945 and described what Squash Lake means to his family. Mr. Hermann spoke of his objection to the proposed camp and efforts made to keep the lake clean so that his family could enjoy it for generations. Mr. Hermann indicated he is opposed to the Jaros' proposal and provided a picture of the lake from his cabin deck (Objector Exhibit #9).

Dave Schmidt was called upon, but no longer present.

Brian Pierce commented that he is from the Northwood's Trust of the Northwood's Conservancy and had no other comments.

Bob (last name unknown) from 2957 South Rifle Road was called upon, but no longer present.

Joan Jensen was called upon but no longer present.

Rob Jensen was called upon but no longer present.

James Lois, Washburn Lake Road, commented on his involvement and interest with the 25 foot proposed riffle gun range. Mr. Lois commented that the proposal of rifle range and air gun are not compatible. Mr. Lois indicated he has not seen a proposal for this range and he would have received this proposal.

Mr. Holewinski asked if there was any other public comment that did not get called upon.

Pam Creed, Bay Road, commented on the meaning of the name "Squash" and indicated it means "little star".

Mr. Holewinski asked if there was any other public comment.

Denny Thompson, Woodboro Town Chair, indicated he has heard from no one who is in favor of this proposal.

Art Jaros inquired about procedure at this point in the hearing.

Mr. Desmond indicated that before the hearing is closed he will be given a chance to respond to any of the comments made tonight.

Art Jaros asked if he would have a chance to rebuttal later.

Mr. Desmond indicated it is time for Mr. Jaros to respond to things said tonight. Mr. Desmond noted Mr. Jaros also asked to provide written response to some of the town and other letter received this evening which the Committee may make a motion in that regard. Mr. Desmond indicated it is time to respond to any comments made and asked that he take into consideration that he has already made a 2 ½ hour or 3 hour presentation.

Art Jaros commented that he has extensive rebuttal and if the Committee would prefer those comments could be included in the other reply.

Mr. Holewinski indicated at this time the Committee would like any public comment for or against to be made, then the public hearing will be closed and the applicant will have an opportunity to give remarks to what has been said and written.

Art Jaros commented that he will would not make rebuttal now, but at a later time if given that opportunity.

Mr. Desmond asked if Mr. Jaros meant written rebuttal.

Art Jaros indicated, "Yes, written rebuttal."

Art Jaros also indicated it is so late and he understands everyone wants to leave. Mr. Jaros commented that personally speaking this is "notwithstanding, an attack on our motives tonight, it has nothing with property taxes". Mr. Jaros commented they are prepared to spend millions of dollars of our family money to build this project and to save a few thousand in property taxes has nothing to do with our motivation. Mr. Jaros commented that the Jaros family believes this is an important project for our nation to preserve our religious heritage as described. Mr. Jaros described the benefits to young people in having a Bible camp experience and indicated they regret any perception of arrogance. Mr. Jaros spoke of the legal procedures allowed and that they are willing to go that course if needed, but hope it's not necessary. Mr. Jaros indicated they understand the protective measures that are required with conditions and they are willing to work with those measures, however, the thrust of opposition is to prohibit this project all together and this is not acceptable. Mr. Jaros indicated he will rebut the other comments in writing and appreciated the Committee and Zoning staff consideration.

Mr. Holewinski asked if Randy or Wes Jaros had any other comments.

Randy Jaros commented that he appreciates the time taken tonight and acknowledged the concern of those who attended. Randy Jaros indicated they are willing to work with the Committee and listen to the residents. Mr. Jaros noted the detailed presentation tonight was for the purpose of getting the truth out, yet people continue to pass on comments that are not true. Mr. Jaros asked that the Committee look at this aspect also and examine what is being proposed.

Wes Jaros thanked the Committee for their time and the time of the citizens who appeared. Mr. Jaros spoke of the one tenant of faith to forgive one another regardless of the outcome. Mr. Jaros commented that some citizens who are casting dispersions as to the Jaroses motives do not know where their hearts are. Mr. Jaros commented that regardless, he trusts that the Committee will make the right decision.

Time: 10:25 p.m.

Mr. Holewinski asked if there is anyone else who wishes to speak before the closing of the public hearing. No response. Mr. Holewinski closed the public portion of the hearing and noted no more written or oral comments will be taken into the record. Mr. Holewinski indicated the Jaros written rebuttal will be taken.

Mr. Desmond advised the Committee on the three motions that need be made including a time frame for response by the Jaroses, and a motion to extend the decision pursuant to Section 9.42 (c) (1), along with a motion to set the date for a final decision on this mater thirty days after written response comes to staff.

Art Jaros indicated he would need 2 weeks from the time the court reporter transcript is received to consider objector comments. Mr. Jaros indicated 30 days would be sufficient.

Motion by Larry Greschner, second by Ted Cushing to grant the Jaros family 30 days from today to respond to the staff and Committee in writing with anything they wish to rebut and so forth. That date would be May 27, 2009. With all members present voting “aye”, the motion carried.

Motion by Ted Cushing, second by Charles Wickman pursuant to County Board Section 9.42(c) (1), the time for review and decision by the Oneida County Planning and Zoning Committee on the application by Arthur G. Jaros, et al., Eagle Cove Camp and Conference Center be extended 180 days from the date the application was certified as being complete by the Director of the Zoning Department. With all members present voting “aye”, the motion carried.

Art Jaros asked to clarify the date noting he will be in the State of California the week of July 15th.

Mr. Holewinski noted the meeting date to be July 29, 2009.

Art Jaros indicated that date would be “great for me”.

Motion by Ted Cushing, second by Larry Greschner that the Oneida County Planning and Zoning Committee postpone any decision on the application of Arthur Jaros, et al., for the Eagle Cove Camp and Conference Center until the regularly scheduled meeting of the Zoning Committee on July 29, 2009. With all members present voting “aye”, the motion carried.

Mr. Holewinski asked the Committee if on a big project like this, as done in the past, a site visit should be scheduled of course with the Jaros' permission and the public welcome to attend.

Art Jaros indicated, “Permission granted, just so we could have one of our team members with you, I guess, to answer questions”.

Motion by Ted Cushing, second by Charles Wickman to meet Art on site on the Jaros property for a date to be determined at a future meeting and that date be in agreement with the Jaros family, so if someone can be attending from their family or one of their representatives. With all members present voting “aye”, the motion carried.

Adjourn

Motion by Ted Cushing, second by Larry Greschner to adjourn. With all members present voting “aye”, the motion carried.

Time: 10:40 p.m.

Scott Holewinski, Chair

Karl Jennrich, Zoning Director